

In the United States Court of Federal Claims
OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS
No. 23-0662V

TUIPINE SOFARA,

Petitioner,

v.

SECRETARY OF HEALTH AND
HUMAN SERVICES,

Respondent.

Chief Special Master Corcoran

Filed: July 11, 2025

Jonathan Joseph Svitak, Shannon Law Group, P.C., Woodridge, IL, for Petitioner.

Benjamin Rex Eisenberg, U.S. Department of Justice, Washington, DC, for Respondent.

DECISION ON ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COSTS¹

On May 4, 2023, Tuipine Sofara filed a petition for compensation under the National Vaccine Injury Compensation Program, 42 U.S.C. §300aa-10, *et seq.*² (the “Vaccine Act”). Petitioner alleged that he suffered a shoulder injury related to vaccine administration following an influenza vaccine he received on December 23, 2021. Petition, ECF No. 1. On February 28, 2025, I issued a decision awarding compensation to Petitioner based on the parties’ stipulation. ECF No. 35.

¹Because this Decision contains a reasoned explanation for the action taken in this case, it must be made publicly accessible and will be posted on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, and/or at <https://www.govinfo.gov/app/collection/uscourts/national/cofc>, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002. 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2018) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). **This means the Decision will be available to anyone with access to the internet.** In accordance with Vaccine Rule 18(b), Petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact medical or other information, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of privacy. If, upon review, I agree that the identified material fits within this definition, I will redact such material from public access.

² National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986, Pub. L. No. 99-660, 100 Stat. 3755. Hereinafter, for ease of citation, all section references to the Vaccine Act will be to the pertinent subparagraph of 42 U.S.C. § 300aa (2018).

Petitioner has now filed a motion for attorney's fees and costs, requesting an award of \$26,179.00 (representing \$25,429.00 in fees plus \$750.00 in costs). Application for Attorneys' Fees and Costs ("Motion") filed March 10, 2025, ECF No. 39. Furthermore, counsel for Petitioner represents that Petitioner incurred no personal out-of-pocket expenses. ECF No. 39 at 2.

Respondent reacted to the motion on March 11, 2025, indicating that he is satisfied the statutory requirements for an award of attorneys' fees and costs are met in this case but deferring resolution of the amount to be awarded to my discretion. Motion at 2-4, ECF No. 40. Petitioner filed no reply thereafter.

The rates requested for work performed through the end of 2024 are reasonable and consistent with prior determinations and will therefore be adopted. Petitioner has also requested an hourly rate of \$450.00 for work performed in 2025 by attorney Jonathan Svitak, which represents a \$40.00 increase from the previous year. I find the proposed increase to be reasonable and hereby award it herein.

However, a review of the billing records reveals a total of 2.0 hours billed on tasks considered administrative in nature.³ Billing at any professional rate for clerical and other administrative work is not permitted in the Vaccine Program. *Rochester v. U.S.*, 18 Cl. Ct. 379, 387 (1989) (noting that tasks "primarily of a secretarial and clerical nature ... should be considered as normal overhead office costs included within the attorneys' fee rates."). See also *Floyd v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 13-556V, 2017 WL 1344623, at *5 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. Mar. 2, 2017) ("[S]ome tasks performed by paralegals were clerical / secretarial in nature. Examples include scheduling status conferences...preparing compact discs...and filing documents through the CM/ECF system."); *Kerridge v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 15-852V, 2017 WL 4020523, at *6 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. July 28, 2017) (noting that billing for "administrative tasks" ... are not compensated in the Vaccine Program); *Silver v. Sec'y of Health & Human Servs.*, No. 16-1019V, 2018 U.S. Claims LEXIS 1058, at *15 (Fed. Cl. Spec. Mstr. July 31, 2018) (noting that "receiv[ing], review[ing,] and process[ing]" records and court orders, and noting deadlines, are all clerical tasks.").

³ See billing entries dated: 8/9/2022, 8/26/2022, 4/20/2023, 5/4/2023, 5/5/2023 (two entries), 5/22/2023 (two entries), 4/24/2024, 5/28/2024, 6/28/2024, 7/29/2024, 7/30/2024, 8/12/2024 (two entries), 10/4/2024 (two entries), 3/10/2025. ECF No. 39-2 at 1-22.

Accordingly, time incurred for such tasks will not be reimbursed. **Application of the foregoing reduces the amount of fees to be awarded by \$381.20.⁴**

Furthermore, Petitioner has provided supporting documentation for all claimed costs. ECF No. 39-4 at 1-9. Respondent offered no specific objection to the rates or amounts sought. I find the requested costs reasonable and hereby award them in full.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of reasonable attorney's fees and costs for successful claimants. Section 15(e). Accordingly, I hereby GRANT Petitioner's Motion for attorney's fees and costs. **Petitioner is awarded attorneys' fees and costs in the total amount of \$25,797.80 (representing \$25,047.80 in fees plus \$750.00 in costs) to be paid through an ACH deposit to petitioner's counsel's IOLTA account for prompt disbursement.** In the absence of a timely-filed motion for review (see Appendix B to the Rules of the Court), the Clerk of Court shall enter judgment in accordance with this decision.⁵

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/Brian H. Corcoran

Brian H. Corcoran

Chief Special Master

⁴ This amount is calculated as follows: (\$177.00 x .2 hrs.) + (\$186.00 x .8 hrs.) + (\$197.00 x 1.0 hrs.) = \$381.20.

⁵ Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), the parties may expedite entry of judgment by filing a joint notice renouncing their right to seek review.